മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: അവരെപ്പോലെ പ്രിവിലേജ്ലീവും അനുവദിക്കാറുണ്ടോ?

റാവുസാഹിബ്ബ് സി. ജെ. മാതൃ: ഉവ്വ്.

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES—STAMPING OF

645. Mr. V. R. Krishnan Ezhuthassan *(Oorakam):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) have the authorities received any complaint during the year 1120 regarding the stamping of weights and measures in the Trichur Taluk Office;

(*b*) how many complaints were received and what was the nature of the complaints;

(*c*) has any action been taken in pursuance of the same?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):*

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Two. One was that they had to return on account of rush. The other was that extra remuneration was demanded.

(*c*) Yes.

Mr. V. R. Krishnan Ezhuthassan *(Oorakam):* What was the action taken.

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):* Investigations were made into the complaints.

Mr. V. R. Krishnan Ezhuthassan *(Oorakam):* With what result?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):* It was found that there was a rush of work and the complaint could not be satisfied. As for the 2nd it was for a work which was beyond what the blacksmith was to do in his capacity as a blackmith attached to the Taluk Office for stamping work. If more work has to be done he should be paid for it.

FOOD PRODUCTION SCHEMES—LANDS—LEASING OF

646. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Will Government be pleased to to state—

(*a*) whether S. No. 8/11 of the Enkakad village in the Talappalli Taluk had not been leased to Kinarummakal Pareed Moideen Kutty under the Food Production Scheme of the Government;

(*b*) whether the said lessee had not prayed for assigning it in his name as consideration of his having spent money thereon and made it a three crop land by his exertions;

(*c*) whether the request has been granted;

(*d*) whether recommendations have been made or orders passed for leasing the same to any other persons;

(*e*) whether the person recommended is not Mr. R. G. Krishna Ayyar, the Land Record Superintendent or one related to him?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):*

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes. But he has not spent money over it or made it a three crop land.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) & (*e*) It has been ordered that Mr. R. G. Krishna Ayyar has the right to get the property when it is leased or assigned, he being the proper claimant for the same.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* May I know, Sir, what is the extent of the land leased out.

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishakr):* I want notice.

Mr. K. T. Achyutan *(Mukundapuram-Ezhuvvs):* What is the nature of the land, is it Poramboke?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):* It is Railway B. class land. Land was acquired by Government for the purpose of the railway.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* And the land is not wanted by the Railway?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peiskar):* Yes.

Mr. P. Govinda Menon *(Ernakulam):* Is this Mr. R. G. Krishna Ayyar an agriculturist?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar):* No he owns land. He is not an agriculturist.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Page 1

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: With regard to the first portion, on what is that answer based?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: On the report of the Tahsildar. He made enquiries.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: For the latter portion?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: The same report.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Is the Hon'ble Member for Revenue aware that this land now has got a standing Puncha crop?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: I am not aware.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Will the Hon'ble Member for Revenue be pleased to look into that question this year.

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: Yes.

Mr. Puthur Achyuta Menon *(Kattur)*: Is this same Krishna Ayyar who is given charge as Diwan Peishkar?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: Yes.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Is he not the person who was in last September subjected to very many questions in this House?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: Very many baseless questions.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Now, Sir, it is stated that "being the proper claimant" on what is this portion based? What is his claim?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: According to the Land Revenue Manual if a property is acquired for a public purpose and if that is not required for that purpose and if it is to be re-assigned it must be given to the person to whom it belonged, from whom it was taken at the price for which it was acquired. This property belonged to Mr. Krishna Ayyar and it was taken from him. Therefore he is entitled now as per rules to get back the property.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthasan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Is it the position of the Government that this land was acquired from Mr. Krishna Ayyar.

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: Yes.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Again is that the position of the Government. Then please understand it is not.

Mr. President: Order. Order. That is a most improper observation.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Sir, if so I will withdraw my statement. Will the Hon'ble Member be pleased to look into the question whether or not it is very same Mr. Krishna Ayyar from whom this land was acquired.

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: I will look into that question. It is registered in his brother's name who is in Burma. And Mr. Krishna Ayyar is looking after his estate.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Does the Hon'ble Member know that this land was acquired from one Ayyath Karunakara Menon?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu *(Diwan Peishkar)*: No.

PRICE OF GINGILI

647. **Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan** *(Vadakkancheri)*: Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the very high price of gingili and its oil in the State;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the price of gingili in Travancore is much higher than that now prevailing in the State?

Rao Sahib M. M. Paul *(Director of Food Supplies)*:

(*a*) The prices for gingili and its oil are now about three and a half times the pre-war rate. These cannot be considered to be very high in view of the increase of prices for other articles.

(*b*) Yes. The Travancore price for gingili is about Re. 1 per maund higher than in Cochin State.

FOOD ADVISORY COMMITTEE

648. **Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe** *(Kunnamkulam)*: Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) is there any principle underlying in re- nominating some of the members of the out-going Food Advisory Committee, to the newely constituted Food Advisory Committee;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly